



TITLE:

Glueing of Algebras for Substructural Logics(Non-Classical Logics and Their Kripke Semantics)

AUTHOR(S):

SHIRASU, Hiroyuki

CITATION:

SHIRASU, Hiroyuki. Glueing of Algebras for Substructural Logics(Non-Classical Logics and Their Kripke Semantics). 数理解析研究所講究録 1995, 927: 127-139

ISSUE DATE:

1995-11

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/59910>

RIGHT:

Glueing of Algebras for Substructural Logics

SHIRASU, Hiroyuki

白須 裕之

School of Information Science, JAIST
Tatsunokuchi, Ishikawa, 923-12, Japan
e-mail:shirasu@jaist.ac.jp

October 11, 1995

Abstract

We will introduce the notion of the glueing of algebras for substructural logics and prove the disjunction and existence properties for them, by using the glueing.

First, we will introduce the glueing of algebras for intuitionistic substructural propositional logics and prove the disjunction property of them, which includes logics with n -contraction [11] and logics with knotted structural rules [3]. No cut-free systems for them are known and therefore usual syntactic arguments do not work for them.

Next we will introduce also the glueing for intuitionistic substructural predicate logics. More precisely, we will define the glueing of hyperdoctrinal semantics which was introduced in [12] and will prove both the disjunction and existence properties of substructural predicate logics. Lastly, we will give a sufficient condition for a given substructural predicate logic with the weakening to have these properties.

Introduction

In order to study various logics in the uniform way, Ono [7] discussed substructural logics regarded as any extensions of the *full Lambek logic* (FL) which, roughly speaking, is the sequent calculus obtained from the sequent calculus LJ for intuitionistic logic by deleting all the structural rules (For similar discussion, see [1], [2], [9]). In [12], we introduced po-hyperdoctrinal semantics for intuitionistic substructural predicate logics including FL. In this paper, we will discuss the disjunction and existence properties for them as an application of this semantics.

The glueing construction has been used in the categorical logic to prove the disjunction and existence properties for various logics. For example, glueing topoi (or the Freyd cover) has been used for intuitionistic higher-order theories (see [6]) and glueing hyperdoctrines for the first-order intuitionistic logic (see [10]). In this paper, we will extend it to the glueing of algebras for substructural logics. By using glueing, we will prove the disjunction and existence properties for many of them.

In section 1, we define intuitionistic substructural logics that will be discussed in this paper and glueing of algebras for them, and show basic results on glueing.

In section 2, we will prove the disjunction property of many intuitionistic substructural propositional logics, which includes logics with n -contraction [11] and with knotted structural rules [3]. No cut-free systems for them are known and therefore usual syntactic arguments do not work for them. Connectification operators for intuitionistic linear logic which was introduced in [11] to prove the disjunction property for linear logic and its extension with n -contraction, can be regarded as a special case of glueing.

In section 3, we will extend the glueing to that for predicate logics. More precisely, we will introduce the glueing of po-hyperdoctrinal semantics discussed in [12]. Then we can prove both the disjunction and existence properties for many substructural predicate logics.

In [4], Hosoi introduced the delta operation Δ on super-intuitionistic propositional logics. It is easy to see that the intuitionistic propositional logic is the only fixed point of Δ . Then Komori [5] and N.-Y. Suzuki [14] extended it to the operation for super-intuitionistic predicate logics and showed that every super-intuitionistic predicate logic which is a fixed point of Δ enjoys the disjunction and existence properties. In section 4, we will show that the delta operation can be extended to an operation for substructural predicate logics with the weakening and as an application of our glueing construction, will give a similar sufficient condition for them to have these properties.

1 Preliminaries

We will fix a first-order language, consisting of the logical constants $1, 0, \top$ and \perp , and the logical connectives $\vee, \wedge, *$ and \supset (In this paper, we will follow the notation for logical operators of [8],[16]). We assume also that it contains neither constants nor function symbols.

When a logic is obtained from FL by adding some structural rules, we call it a *structural extension* of FL and denote it by FL_σ where σ is a set of corresponding letters for structural rules. In this paper, we will discuss structural rules, exchange(e), weakening(w), contraction(c), n -contraction($n \geq 2$), and knotted structural rules($m \rightsquigarrow k$), where n, m, k are natural numbers such that $n \geq 2, m \neq k$ and $k > 0$. Let FL_σ be a structural extension of FL. A *super-FL $_\sigma$ logic* \mathbf{L} is a set of formulas such that

1. $\text{FL}_\sigma \subseteq \mathbf{L}$,
2. \mathbf{L} is closed under two kinds of modus ponens, i.e.
 (m.p.1) if formulas α and $\alpha \supset \beta$ are in \mathbf{L} , then β is also in \mathbf{L} ,
 (m.p.2) if formulas α and $\beta \supset \alpha \supset \gamma$ are in \mathbf{L} , then $\beta \supset \gamma$ is also in \mathbf{L} ,
3. \mathbf{L} is closed under adjunction, i.e. if formulas α and β are in \mathbf{L} , then $\alpha \wedge \beta$ is also in \mathbf{L} ,
4. \mathbf{L} is closed under generalization and substitution.

A super-FL $_\sigma$ propositional logic is a set of only propositional formulas which includes a propositional FL $_\sigma$ and is closed under (m.p.1), (m.p.2), adjunction and substitution. Throughout this paper, we sometimes call a super-FL logic only a logic.

A structure $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \supset, \vee, \wedge, *, 1, 0, \top, \perp \rangle$ is an FL-*algebra* if

1. $\langle A, \vee, \wedge, \top, \perp \rangle$ is a lattice,

2. $\langle A, *, 1 \rangle$ is a monoid,
3. $z * (x \vee y) * w = (z * x * w) \vee (z * y * w)$ for every $x, y, z, w \in A$,
4. $x * y \leq z \Leftrightarrow x \leq y \supset z$ for every $x, y, z \in A$,
5. $0 \in A$.

We will sometimes introduce another implication and denote it by \supset' , which satisfies

- 4'. $y * x \leq z \Leftrightarrow x \leq y \supset' z$ for every $x, y, z \in A$.

Some knowledge of algebraic semantics and FL-algebras will be assumed. We refer the reader to [8],[16].

In [10], glueing of Heyting algebras was given and applied fibrewise to hyperdoctrines. We will extend it to glueing of FL-algebras.

Definition 1.1 A function $\delta : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ between FL-algebras is called a *fringe morphism* if it is a meet-semilattice morphism satisfying $\delta 1 \geq 1$, $\delta 0 \geq 0$ and $\delta(\phi * \psi) \geq \delta\phi * \delta\psi$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{A}$.

Definition 1.2 (glueing) Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be FL-algebras, $\gamma : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ a fringe morphism. Define $Gl(\gamma) = \langle |Gl(\gamma)|, \supset, \vee, \wedge, *, 1, 0, \top, \perp \rangle$ by

$|Gl(\gamma)| = \{(b, a) \in \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A} \mid b \leq \gamma a\}$,
the order relation $(b, a) \leq (b', a')$ iff $b \leq b'$ in \mathbf{B} and $a \leq a'$ in \mathbf{A} ,
implication $(b, a) \supset (b', a') = ((b \supset b') \wedge \gamma(a \supset a'), a \supset a')$,
and other FL-algebra operations pointwise
(for example, $1 = (1, 1)$, $(b, a) * (b', a') = (b * b', a * a')$).

This $Gl(\gamma)$ is called the glueing of \mathbf{A} to \mathbf{B} along γ .

It is easy to see that $Gl(\gamma)$ is an FL-algebra and the second projection function $\rho : Gl(\gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is an FL-morphism so that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Gl(\gamma) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathbf{A} \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \pi_2 & \\
 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A} & &
 \end{array}$$

The following lemma shows a basic property of glueing of FL-algebras.

Lemma 1.3 Let \mathcal{V} be a class of FL-algebras characterized by a set E of inequalities without using \supset . If both FL-algebras \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are included in \mathcal{V} and $\gamma : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is a fringe morphism between them, then $Gl(\gamma)$ is also included in \mathcal{V} .

PROOF. We fix a countable set X of variables and a signature $\Sigma = \langle 1, 0, \top, \perp, *, \wedge, \vee \rangle$. By our assumption, any inequality in E is of the form $e_1 \leq e_2$ where $e_i (i = 1, 2)$ is a Σ -term. For each valuation $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$, we can define an interpretation $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\rho$ on \mathbf{A} in a usual way by induction on e . We write $\mathbf{A} \models e_1 \leq e_2$ if $\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_\rho \leq \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_\rho$ in \mathbf{A} for all $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$. We say that \mathcal{V} is characterized by a set E of inequalities when for any FL-algebra \mathbf{A} $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ iff $\mathbf{A} \models e_1 \leq e_2$ for all $e_1 \leq e_2 \in E$.

When we use this notation, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{A} \models e_1 \leq e_2$ and $\mathbf{B} \models e_1 \leq e_2$ implies $Gl(\gamma) \models e_1 \leq e_2$. This follows from the fact that for a given $\rho : X \rightarrow Gl(\gamma)$,

$$\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_\rho = (\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_{\pi_1 \rho}, \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_{\pi_2 \rho}) \leq (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_{\pi_1 \rho}, \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_{\pi_2 \rho}) = \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_\rho$$

since Σ does not include \supset . □

2 Glueing in propositional logics

In this section, we will consider only propositional logics and the corresponding FL-algebras. Results in this section will be extended to predicate logics in the next section. Let $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A})$ be the set of all formulas (in propositional logics) which are valid in a given FL-algebra \mathbf{A} . Then $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A})$ is a logic. Conversely, for any logic \mathbf{L} , it is easy to see by the standard argument that the Lindenbaum algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{L}}$ of \mathbf{L} is an FL-algebra which satisfies $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{L}})$. For a given logic \mathbf{L} , we define the class $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L})$ of FL-algebras by $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L}) = \{\mathbf{A} : \text{FL-algebra} \mid \mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A})\}$. A logic \mathbf{L} has the disjunction property, if $\alpha \vee \beta \in \mathbf{L}$ implies $\alpha \in \mathbf{L}$ or $\beta \in \mathbf{L}$ for each formula α and β .

Definition 2.1 An FL-algebra \mathbf{A} has the property (DP) if $1 \leq \phi * \psi$ implies $1 \leq \phi$ or $1 \leq \psi$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{A}$.

We notice that the property (DP) for the Lindenbaum algebra of \mathbf{L} is equivalent to the disjunction property for \mathbf{L} .

Definition 2.2 For an FL-algebra \mathbf{A} , define the function $\gamma : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ by

$$\gamma : \phi \mapsto \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } \phi \geq 1, \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$


The FL-algebra $\mathbf{2}$ has $\{\top (= 1), \perp (= 0)\}$ as an underlying set and satisfies $*$ = \wedge . Then γ is a fringe morphism. The FL-algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$, called the glued algebra of \mathbf{A} , is defined as the glueing $Gl(\gamma)$ of \mathbf{A} to $\mathbf{2}$ along γ . We call that $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L})$ is closed under glueing if $\widehat{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L})$ for all $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L})$.

We will show two lemmas below in order to prove Proposition 2.5, which is the main result in this section.

Lemma 2.3 $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$ satisfies (DP).

PROOF. Given $(a, \phi), (b, \psi) \in \widehat{\mathbf{A}}$, if $(a, \phi) \vee (b, \psi) \geq 1$, then $a \vee b = \top$, so either $a = \top$ and then $\phi \geq 1$, or $b = \top$ and then $\psi \geq 1$. \square

Lemma 2.4 *Suppose that $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L})$ is closed under glueing. If \mathbf{A} is a free algebra in $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L})$, then \mathbf{A} is a retract of $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$, i.e. the second projection function $\rho : \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ has a right inverse ι .*



PROOF. Suppose that \mathbf{A} is freely generated by a set X . Take $\delta : X \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{A}}$ as $\delta(x) = (\gamma x, x)$. By the freeness of \mathbf{A} , $\rho\delta = id_{\mathbf{A}}$. So we can take δ as ι . \square

Proposition 2.5 *If $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L})$ is closed under glueing, then \mathbf{L} has the disjunction property.*

PROOF. Since the Lindenbaum algebra $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{L}}$ of \mathbf{L} is freely generated by the set of propositional variables, it suffices to show the following: If an FL_{σ} -algebra \mathbf{A} is free in $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L})$, then it satisfies (DP). Now, for any given $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{A}$, if $\phi \vee \psi \geq 1$, then using ι from Lemma 2.4,

$$1 = \iota 1 \leq \iota(\phi \vee \psi) = \iota\phi \vee \iota\psi \text{ in } \widehat{\mathbf{A}}.$$

So by Lemma 2.3 either $1 \leq \iota\phi$ in which case $1 \leq \rho\iota\phi = \phi$, or else $1 \leq \iota\psi$ in which case $1 \leq \rho\iota\psi = \psi$. Thus \mathbf{A} satisfies (DP). \square

Using Lemma 1.3 and Proposition 2.5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6 *A structural extension FL_{σ} of FL has the disjunction property, where $\sigma \subseteq \{e, c, w, n, n \rightsquigarrow k\}$.*

Remark 2.7 (connectification) When a given logic \mathbf{L} has weakening, 1 becomes equal to \top . Then, it is easy to see that glueing is equivalent to connectification operators in propositional affine logics (see [11]).

3 Glueing in predicate logics

In this section, we will extend the results in previous section to those of predicate logics. We use po-hyperdoctrinal semantics for substructural predicate logics. This semantics can be regarded as an extension of algebraic semantics for them. Basic definition and properties are stated here, but we refer the reader to [12] for details.

Let P^k be k -place predicate variable, α a formula, and $\vec{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_m)$ variables not occurring in α . Following [13], $\alpha^{[m]}$ denotes the result of replacing in α each atomic subformula of the form $P^k(\vec{x})$ by $P^{k+m}(\vec{x}, \vec{z})$. We may restrict our attention to the following FL-doctrines because our language contains neither constants nor function symbols.

Definition 3.1 Let Σ_X be the free category with finite products on single object X , and \mathbf{FL}_σ the category of \mathbf{FL}_σ -algebras with \supset' . An \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrine \mathcal{A} over Σ_X is a functor $\mathcal{A} : \Sigma_X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{FL}_\sigma$ (as usual, we write α^* for $\mathcal{A}(\alpha) : \mathcal{A}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(I)$ where $\alpha : I \rightarrow J$ in Σ_X) such that

1. for all projection $\pi_2 : K \times I \rightarrow I$ in Σ_X , $\pi_2^* : \mathcal{A}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(K \times I)$ has a left and a right adjoint, denoted by $\exists_{K,I}$ and $\forall_{K,I}$ respectively.
2. for these adjoints, the following Beck-Chevally conditions hold: for all $\alpha : I \rightarrow J$ in Σ_X , $\alpha^* \exists_{K,J} = \exists_{K,I} (1 \times \alpha)^*$. Likewise for $\forall_{K,I}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 I & & \mathcal{A}(K \times I) & \xleftarrow{\pi_2^*} & \mathcal{A}(I) \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \uparrow (1 \times \alpha)^* & & \uparrow \alpha^* \\
 J & & \mathcal{A}(K \times J) & \xleftarrow{\pi_2^*} & \mathcal{A}(J) \\
 & & \exists_{K,J} & &
 \end{array}$$

For any \mathbf{FL} -doctrine \mathcal{A} , we define a *structure* \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{A} by an element $\mathcal{M}P^k \in \mathcal{A}(X^k)$ for each predicate symbol $P^k (k \geq 0)$. Given a formula α and a finite list \vec{x} of variables ($FV(\alpha) \subseteq \vec{x}, |\vec{x}| = m$), we define an *interpretation* $\mathcal{M}(\alpha; \vec{x}) \in \mathcal{A}(X^m)$ by induction on α :

1. $\mathcal{M}(P^k(\vec{y}); \vec{x}) = (\pi_{m,k})^*(\mathcal{M}P^k)$ where $\vec{y} \subseteq \vec{x}$,
2. $\mathcal{M}(\diamond; \vec{x}) = \diamond$ in $\mathcal{A}(X^m)$ where $\diamond \in \{\top, \perp, 1, 0\}$,
3. $\mathcal{M}(\alpha \circ \beta; \vec{x}) = \mathcal{M}(\alpha; \vec{x}) \circ \mathcal{M}(\beta; \vec{x})$ where $\circ \in \{*, \wedge, \vee, \supset, \supset'\}$,
4. $\mathcal{M}((\mathcal{Q}y)\alpha; \vec{x}) = \mathcal{Q}_{X, X^m} \mathcal{M}(\alpha; y\vec{x})$ where $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\exists, \forall\}$.

where $\pi_{m,k}$ denotes the unique morphism whose composition with each π_i is π_i .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X^m & \xrightarrow{\pi_{m,k}} & X^k \\
 & \searrow \pi_i & \downarrow \pi_i \\
 & & X
 \end{array}$$

A formula α is said to be *valid* in an \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrine \mathcal{A} if for every structure \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\alpha};) \geq 1$ where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the universal closure of α . Then we write $\mathcal{A} \models \alpha$. We define the following two sets of formulas determined by \mathcal{A} .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{L}^\sim(\mathcal{A}) &= \{\alpha \in \text{FORM} \mid \mathcal{A} \models \alpha\} \\
 \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A}) &= \{\alpha \in \text{FORM} \mid \mathcal{A} \models \alpha^{[m]} \text{ for all } m \geq 0\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{L}^\sim(\mathcal{A})$ is closed under two kinds of modus ponens, adjunction and generalization, but it is not always closed under substitution (see [12]). On the other hand, $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is closed under substitution, and hence it is a super- \mathbf{FL}_σ logic.

For a given logic \mathbf{L} , if there exists an \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrine \mathcal{A} such that $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A})$, then we say that \mathbf{L} is characterized by \mathcal{A} and also that \mathbf{L} is complete with respect to hyperdoctrinal semantics. We can show that every logic is complete, by using the Lindenbaum \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrine for the logic. We define a class $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$ of \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrines by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L}) = \{\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{FL}_\sigma\text{-doctrines} \mid \mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A})\}$.

As the first step, we define fringe morphisms of \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrines in order to introduce glued \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrines in place of glued algebras.

Definition 3.2 Let $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{FL}_\sigma, \mathcal{B} : \mathbf{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{FL}_\sigma$ be \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrines. A fringe morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrines is a pair (Δ, δ) of a cartesian functor $\Delta : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ and a natural transformation $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\Delta$ such that

1. for each $I \in \text{Obj}\mathbf{C}$, $\delta_I : \mathcal{A}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\Delta I)$ is a fringe morphism between \mathbf{FL} -algebras.
2. for all projection $\pi_2 : K \times I \rightarrow I$ in \mathbf{C} , the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K \times I & & \mathcal{A}(K \times I) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{K \times I}} & \mathcal{B}(\Delta(K \times I)) \\
 \pi_2 \downarrow & & \uparrow \pi_2^* \downarrow \exists_{K,I} & & \uparrow \pi_2^* \downarrow \exists_{\Delta K, \Delta I} \\
 I & & \mathcal{A}(I) & \xrightarrow{\delta_I} & \mathcal{B}(\Delta I)
 \end{array}$$

Likewise for $\forall_{K,I}$.

To indicate that $(\Delta, \delta) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a fringe morphism of \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} we sometimes write as the following 2-categorical diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} & & \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \\
 \langle \Delta, \delta \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\
 \mathcal{B} & & \mathbf{D}^{\text{op}}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{A} & \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} & \Delta & \mathbf{FL}_\sigma \\
 & \nwarrow & \searrow \\
 & \mathcal{B} &
 \end{array}$$

Definition 3.3 Let $\mathcal{P} : \mathbf{Sets}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{FL}_\sigma$ be an \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrine of the powerset functor and $\Gamma : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ the global sections. For an \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrine $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{FL}_\sigma$, define a natural transformation $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\Gamma$ by

- for each $I \in \text{Obj}\mathbf{C}$, $\gamma_I : \mathcal{A}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma I)$ as $\gamma_I(\phi) = \{i \in \Gamma I \mid i^* \phi \geq 1\}$.

It is easy to see that (Γ, γ) is the fringe morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} & & \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \\
 \langle \Gamma, \gamma \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma \\
 \mathcal{P} & & \mathbf{Sets}^{\text{op}}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{A} & \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} & \Gamma & \mathbf{FL}_\sigma \\
 & \nwarrow & \searrow \\
 & \mathcal{P} &
 \end{array}$$

Then a glued \mathbf{FL}_σ -doctrine $\hat{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{FL}_\sigma$ consists of

1. $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(I) = Gl(\gamma_I)$ for each $I \in Obj\mathbf{C}$,
2. for each $\alpha : I \rightarrow J$, $\alpha^* : \hat{\mathcal{A}}(J) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}(I)$ is the restriction of α^* in $\mathcal{PT} \times \mathcal{A}$.

We call that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$ is closed under glueing if $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$ for any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$.

Remark 3.4 For any projection $\pi_2 : K \times I \rightarrow I$ in \mathbf{C} , we can take $\forall_{K,I}$ and $\exists_{K,I}$ as follows: for $(X, \phi) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}(K \times I)$,

- $\forall_{K,I}(X, \phi) = (\forall_{K,I}X \cap \gamma_I(\forall_{K,I}\phi), \forall_{K,I}\phi)$ in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(I)$,
- $\exists_{K,I}(X, \phi) = (\exists_{K,I}X, \exists_{K,I}\phi)$ in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(I)$.

A predicate logic \mathbf{L} has the disjunction property, if $\alpha \vee \beta \in \mathbf{L}$ implies $\alpha \in \mathbf{L}$ or $\beta \in \mathbf{L}$ for each formula α and β . A predicate logic \mathbf{L} has the existence property, for each formula $\alpha(x)$ if $\exists x\alpha(x) \in \mathbf{L}$ implies $\alpha(t) \in \mathbf{L}$ for some term t . We will introduce the properties (DP), (EP) and free FL-doctrines. It is easily seen that (DP) and (EP) for the Lindenbaum FL-doctrine of \mathbf{L} are equivalent to the disjunction and existence properties for \mathbf{L} , respectively.

Definition 3.5 Let \mathcal{A} be an FL_σ -doctrine over \mathbf{C} .

- \mathcal{A} has the property (DP) if for any $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}(1)$, if $1 \leq \phi \vee \psi$ then $1 \leq \phi$ or $1 \leq \psi$.
- \mathcal{A} has the property (EP) if for any $K \in Obj\mathbf{C}$ and any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(K \times 1)$, if $1 \leq \exists_{K,1}\phi$ then for some $k : 1 \rightarrow K \in \mathbf{C}$. $1 \leq \langle k, id_1 \rangle^* \phi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \langle k, id_1 \rangle^* \phi & \longleftarrow & \vdash \phi & \longrightarrow & \exists_{K,1} \phi \\
 & & & & \\
 \mathcal{A}(1) & \xleftarrow{\langle k, id_1 \rangle^*} & \mathcal{A}(K \times 1) & \xrightarrow[\pi_2^*]{\exists_{K,1}} & \mathcal{A}(1) \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 1 & \xrightarrow{\langle k, id_1 \rangle} & K \times 1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & 1
 \end{array}$$

Definition 3.6 For a given category \mathbf{C} , the category $\mathbf{C}\text{-Sets}$ consists of

- families $\{X_I\}_{I \in Obj\mathbf{C}}$ of sets as objects,
- families $\{f_I : X_I \rightarrow Y_I\}_{I \in Obj\mathbf{C}}$ of functions as morphisms from $\{X_I\}_{I \in Obj\mathbf{C}}$ to $\{Y_I\}_{I \in Obj\mathbf{C}}$.

Let $\mathbf{C}\text{-FL}_\sigma$ be a category of FL_σ -doctrines over \mathbf{C} and $U : \mathbf{C}\text{-FL}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\text{-Sets}$ the underlying functor. An FL_σ -doctrine \mathcal{A} over \mathbf{C} is freely generated by $X = \{X_I\}_{I \in Obj\mathbf{C}}$ if it has the following universal property: for any FL_σ -doctrine \mathcal{B} over \mathbf{C} and $f : X \rightarrow U\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbf{C}\text{-Sets}$, there exists a unique morphism $\bar{f} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbf{C}\text{-FL}_\sigma$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & UA \\
& \searrow f & \downarrow U\bar{f} \\
& & UB
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
& & A \\
& & \downarrow \bar{f} \\
& & B
\end{array}$$

C-Sets**C-FL $_{\sigma}$**

We notice that the Lindenbaum FL $_{\sigma}$ -doctrine $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{L}} : \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{L}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{FL}_{\sigma}$ of \mathbf{L} is freely generated by $\{X_{\vec{x}}\}_{\vec{x} \in \text{Obj } \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{L}}}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$ where $X_{\vec{x}}$ is the set of all atomic formulas $R(\vec{x})$ for $\vec{x} \in \text{Obj } \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{L}}$.

Lemma 3.7 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfies (DP) and (EP).

PROOF.

(DP) It suffices to replace the FL-algebra \mathbf{A} by $\mathcal{A}(1)$ in Lemma 2.3.

(EP) Given $(X, \phi) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}(K \times 1)$, if

$$(\top, 1) = 1 \leq \exists_{K,1}(X, \phi) = (\{id_1 \in \Gamma 1 \mid \langle k, id_1 \rangle \in X, \text{ some } k \in \Gamma K\}, \exists_{K,1}\phi),$$

then the first component is not empty, i.e. there is some $k : 1 \rightarrow K$ in \mathbf{C} with $\langle k, id_1 \rangle \in X$, which means that $1 \leq \langle k, id_1 \rangle^* \phi$ since $(X, \phi) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}(K \times 1)$. □

Lemma 3.8 Suppose $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$ is closed under glueing. If an FL $_{\sigma}$ -doctrine \mathcal{A} over \mathbf{C} is free in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$, then \mathcal{A} is a retract of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$. That is,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \hat{\mathcal{A}} \\
& \searrow id_{\mathcal{A}} & \downarrow \rho \\
& & \mathcal{A}
\end{array}$$

where $\rho : \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathbf{FL}_{\sigma} \\
& \Downarrow \rho & \\
& \mathcal{A} &
\end{array}$$

of FL $_{\sigma}$ -doctrines such that for all $I \in \text{Obj } \mathbf{C}$ the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Gl(\gamma_I) & \xrightarrow{\rho_I} & \mathcal{A}(I) \\
\downarrow & \nearrow \pi_2 & \\
\mathcal{P}\Gamma(I) \times \mathcal{A}(I) & &
\end{array}$$

PROOF. Consider fibrewise. Then we can show our lemma in the same way as Lemma 2.4. \square

Proposition 3.9 *If $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$ is closed under glueing, then \mathbf{L} has the disjunction and existence properties.*

PROOF. We show that if an FL-doctrine over \mathbf{C} is free in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$ then it satisfies (DP) and (EP).

(DP) It suffices to replace the FL-algebra \mathbf{A} by $\mathcal{A}(1)$ in Proposition 2.5.

(EP) Given $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(K \times 1)$ (some $K \in \text{Obj } \mathbf{C}$), if $1 \leq \exists_{K,1}\phi$ in $\mathcal{A}(1)$, then using ι in Lemma 3.8,

$$1 = \iota_1 1 \leq \iota_1 \exists_{K,1}\phi = \exists_{K,1}\iota_{K \times 1}\phi \text{ in } \hat{\mathcal{A}}(1).$$

So by Lemma 3.7, there exists $k : 1 \rightarrow K$ in \mathbf{C} with $1 \leq \langle k, id_1 \rangle^* \iota_{K \times 1}\phi$, hence

$$1 = \rho_1 1 \leq \rho_1 \langle k, id_1 \rangle^* \iota_{K \times 1}\phi = \langle k, id_1 \rangle^* \rho_{K \times 1} \iota_{K \times 1}\phi = \langle k, id_1 \rangle^* \phi.$$

Then \mathcal{A} satisfies (EP). \square

Let $\sigma \subseteq \{e, c, w, n, n \rightsquigarrow k\}$. Using Lemma 1.3 fibrewise, we can show that if \mathcal{A} is an FL_σ -doctrine then so is $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, i.e. $\mathcal{D}(\text{FL}_\sigma)$ is closed under glueing. Therefore we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10 *A structural extension FL_σ of FL has the disjunction and existence properties, where $\sigma \subseteq \{e, c, w, n, n \rightsquigarrow k\}$.*

4 Delta operations for substructural logics

In this section, we will assume that FL_σ is a structural extension of FL_w and $\mathcal{D}(\text{FL}_\sigma)$ is closed under glueing. Then we will show that the delta operation can be extended to an operation on the set of super- FL_σ logics and show that every super- FL_σ logic \mathbf{L} satisfying $\Delta_\sigma(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{L}$ has both the disjunction and existence properties.

Definition 4.1 *For each formula α , define $\Delta\alpha \equiv p \vee (p \supset \alpha)$ where p is a propositional variable not occurring in α . Let \mathbf{L} be a super- FL_σ logic. We define a super- FL_σ logic $\Delta_\sigma(\mathbf{L})$ by $\Delta_\sigma(\mathbf{L}) = \text{FL}_\sigma + \{\Delta\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{L}\}$.*

Lemma 4.2 *For every FL_σ -doctrine \mathcal{A} and every formula α , $\alpha \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A})$ implies $\Delta\alpha \in \mathbf{L}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$.*

PROOF. Suppose $\mathcal{M}(\alpha^{[n]}; \vec{x}) = \top$ for all \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{A} and $n \geq 0$. We will show that $\mathcal{N}(\Delta\alpha^{[m]}; \vec{x}) = \top = (\Gamma I, \top)$ in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(I)$ (\mathcal{N} in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$) where

$$\Delta\alpha^{[m]} \equiv P^m(\vec{z}) \vee (P^m(\vec{z}) \supset \alpha^{[m]}).$$

Let $\mathcal{N}(P^m(\vec{z}); \vec{x}) = (A, \phi)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\alpha^{[m]}; \vec{x}) = (B, \psi)$. Then $\mathcal{N}(\Delta\alpha^{[m]}; \vec{x}) = (A \vee ((A \supset B) \wedge \gamma(\phi \supset \psi)), \phi \vee (\phi \supset \psi))$. Since p does not occur in α , P^m does not in $\alpha^{[m]}$.

- If $\mathcal{N}(P^m(\vec{z}); \vec{x}) = (\Gamma I, \top)$, then $\mathcal{N}(\Delta\alpha^{[m]}; \vec{x}) = (\Gamma I, \top) = \top$.
- If $\mathcal{N}(P^m(\vec{z}); \vec{x}) \neq (\Gamma I, \top)$, then $A \neq \Gamma I$. By assumption, $\mathcal{N}(\alpha^{[m]}; \vec{x}) = (B, \top)$ i.e. $\psi = \top$, then $(A \vee (A \supset B)) \wedge \gamma(\phi \supset \top) = A \vee (A \supset B) = \Gamma I$. Therefore $\mathcal{N}(\Delta\alpha^{[m]}; \vec{x}) = (\Gamma I, \top) = \top$.

□

Proposition 4.3 *If $\Delta_\sigma(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{L}$, then $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{L})$ is closed under glueing.*

PROOF. Suppose $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A})$. Then we prove $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{L}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$. Since $\Delta_\sigma(\mathbf{L})$ is a logic, it suffice to show the following:

1. If $\alpha \in \text{FL}_\sigma$, then $\alpha \in \mathbf{L}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$ because $\mathcal{D}(\text{FL}_\sigma)$ is closed under glueing, i.e. $\text{FL}_\sigma \subseteq \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A})$ implies $\text{FL}_\sigma \subseteq \mathbf{L}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$.
2. If $\alpha \in \{\Delta\beta \mid \beta \in \mathbf{L}\}$, then there exists a formula $\beta \in \mathbf{L}$ with $\alpha \equiv \Delta\beta$. By Lemma 4.2, $\Delta\beta \equiv \alpha \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A})$.

□

Using Proposition 3.9 and 4.3, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4 *If $\Delta_\sigma(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{L}$, then \mathbf{L} has the disjunction and existence properties.*

5 Concluding Remarks

In super-intuitionistic propositional logic, the following fact is interesting in the sense of characterizing the intuitionistic propositional logic.

Fact For every propositional logic \mathbf{L} , $\Delta(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{L}$ if and only if \mathbf{L} is the intuitionistic propositional logic. That is, the intuitionistic propositional logic is the unique fixed point of Δ (See [4]).

In super- FL_σ logics with the weakening, the corresponding result is not so clear. Does the delta operation Δ_σ characterize the logic FL_σ ? So we have the following question:

Question Is it true that for every super- FL_σ logic \mathbf{L} , $\Delta_\sigma(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{L}$ iff $\mathbf{L} = \text{FL}_\sigma$? Moreover when FL_σ has no weakening, what operation on super- FL_σ logics does characterize FL_σ ?

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my great thanks to Prof. Hiroakira Ono for his help and encouragement. I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Nobu-Yuki Suzuki for providing manuscript on the delta operation. I must also thank to Dr. Masaru Shirahata for his useful comments. I am sincerely grateful to Prof. Yoshihito Toyama, Takahito Aoto, and Yoko Motohama for their friendly encouragement.

References

- [1] K. Došen, Sequent Systems and Groupoid Models I,II, *Studia Logica* 47:353-358, 48:41-65, 1989, 90.
- [2] J.M. Dunn, Partial Gaggles Applied to Logics with Restricted Structural Rules, in: K. Došen, P. Schroeder-Heister(eds.), *Substructural Logics*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [3] R. Hori, H. Ono and H. Schellinx, Extending Intuitionistic Linear Logic with Knotted Structural Rules, *Notre Dame J. of Formal Logic* 35(2):219-242, 1994.
- [4] T. Hosoi, The delta operation on the intermediate logics and the modal logics, *J. of Tsuda College* 10:13-20, 1978.
- [5] Y. Komori, Some Results on the Super-intuitionistic Predicate Logics, *Reports on Mathematical Logic* 15:13-31, 1983.
- [6] J. Lambek and P.J. Scott, *Introduction to higher order categorical logic*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [7] H. Ono, Structural Rules and a Logical Hierarchy, in: P.P. Petkov(eds.), *Mathematical Logic*, Plenum Press, New York, 1990.
- [8] H. Ono, Semantics for Substructural Logics, in: K. Došen, P. Schroeder-Heister(eds.), *Substructural Logics*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [9] H. Ono and Y. Komori, Logic without the Contraction Rule, *the Journal of Symbolic Logic* 50(1):169-201, 1985.
- [10] A.M. Pitts, Notes on Categorical Logic, Technical report, Computer Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 1989.
- [11] A. Prijatelj, Connectification for n -contraction, *Studia Logica* 54:173-198, 1995.
- [12] H. Shirasu, Po-Hyperdoctrinal Semantics for Substructural Logics, in preparation.
- [13] D.P. Skvortsov and V.B. Shehtman, Maximal Kripke-type semantics for modal and superintuitionistic predicate logics, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 63:69-101, 1993.
- [14] N.-Y. Suzuki, Some properties of the delta operation on super-intuitionistic predicate logics, Abstracts of Papers NSL '94, p.22, Workshop on Non-Standard Logics and Logical Aspects of Computer Science, Kanazawa, Japan, December 5-8, 1994.

- [15] N.-Y. Suzuki, Algebraic Kripke sheaf semantics for super-intuitionistic predicate logics, manuscript distributed at the symposium held in RIMS Kyoto Univ., July 18, 1995.
- [16] A.S. Troelstra, *Lectures on Linear Logic*, CSLI Lecture Notes 29, CSLI, Stanford, 1992.